

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
JEFFREY K. RIFFER (Bar No. 87016) (Jriff@jmbm.com)
1900 Avenue of the Stars
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4308
Telephone: 310 203-8080
Facsimile: 310 203-0567

Attorneys for Defendant JUPITERIMAGES CORP.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

STEVE SHAPIRO and STEVE SHAPIRO
MUSIC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JUPITERIMAGES CORPORATION,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 3:07-CV -05540-PJH

JUPITERIMAGES CORPORATION'S NOTICE
OF RELATED CASE

JMBM
Jeffer Mangels
Butler & Marmaro LLP

Jupiterimages Corporation ("Jupiterimages") hereby provides Notice of a Related Case and attaches a copy of the Complaint in that related case as Exhibit A hereto.

(1) A description of the other action. Jupiterimages purchased assets from Shapiro pursuant to a written Asset Purchase Agreement ("the Agreement"), the same Agreement that is the subject of this California action. Shapiro represented and warranted in writing that he was the sole owner of certain assets. In fact, he was not the owner of such assets. Jupiterimages's Complaint against Shapiro in Connecticut federal court is for fraud, negligent misrepresentation, innocent misrepresentation, breach of contract (regarding Shapiro's breach of his indemnity obligation), other breaches of contract, violation of the UCC, unfair trade practices and rescission. The Complaint seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages, attorney fees, rescission and other appropriate equitable relief.

(2) The title and location of the court in which the other action or proceeding is pending. Jupiterimages Corporation v. Steve Shapiro, doing business as Steve Shapiro Music. United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. Case No. 307 CV 1614 (JBA).

(3) A brief statement of: (A) The relationship of the other action to the action or proceeding pending in this district; and (B) If the other action is pending in another U.S. District Court, whether transfer should be effected pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (Multi District Litigation Procedures) or whether other coordination might avoid conflicts, conserve resources and promote an efficient determination of the action; or (C) If the other action is pending before any state court, whether proceedings should be coordinated to avoid conflicts, conserve resources and promote an efficient determination of the action. As noted above, Jupiterimages's Complaint against Shapiro is for compensatory and punitive damages, attorney fees, rescission and other appropriate equitable relief for Shapiro's fraud, misrepresentations, breaches of contract (including breach of Shapiro's indemnity obligation) and other wrongs, all arising out of the Agreement.

Shapiro's Complaint against Jupiterimages in this Court is for only one claim arising

1 out of the Agreement: declaratory relief regarding Shapiro's indemnity obligation to Jupiterimages.

2 Declaratory relief is discretionary. This Court should dismiss Shapiro's one count
3 Complaint for declaratory relief in this Court to avoid having two federal courts deal with the same
4 dispute between the same parties. Jupiterimages has already filed its motion to dismiss the
5 Complaint in this Court.

6
7 DATED: November 6, 2007

JEFFER, MANGELS, BUTLER & MARMARO LLP
JEFFREY K. RIFFER

8
9 By: --S-- JEFFREY K. RIFFER
10 JEFFREY K. RIFFER
Attorneys for Defendant JUPITERIMAGES CORP.

JMBM | Jeffer Mangels
Butler & Marmaro LLP